# Interplay between cone and partial-cone geometry in doublybridged calix[8]arenes investigated by X-ray and 2D NMR 
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X-Ray crystallography proves the occurrence in 1,5:3,7-doubly-bridged calix[8]arenes of a $3 / 4$-cone geometry which can be transformed, in analogy to calix[4]arenes, to an unprecedented $3 / 4$-partial-cone arrangement by proper alkylation of the free OH groups.

One of the most peculiar features of calix[4]arenes is their atropisomerism, which gives rise to four discrete conformers (cone, partial-cone, 1,3-alternate, 1,2-alternate) when groups bulkier than ethyl are appended at the lower rim. ${ }^{1, \dagger} \dagger$ A similar clear-cut picture cannot be drawn for the homologous calix[6]arenes, in which the complete blockage of through-the-annulus conformational interconversion can hardly be obtained because of the larger dimension of the macrocycle. ${ }^{2 a}$ However, in this case two commonly adopted conformations have also been characterized: the pinched-cone ${ }^{2 b}$ and the 1,2,3-alternate. ${ }^{2 c}$ The situation is even worse for the larger calix[8]arenes, for which the pleatedloop ${ }^{3 a}$ and the chair-like ${ }^{3 b}$ conformations have been characterized only in the solid state. In fact, it was recognized that the shaping of the calix[8]arene framework requires a mandatory intrabridging step. ${ }^{4}$ Using this approach highly preorganized $D_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$-symmetrical 1,5:3,7-doubly-bridged calix[8]arenes have been prepared by both Shinkai ${ }^{5}$ and our ${ }^{6}$ groups, for which molecular modeling predicts an intriguing structure composed by four $3 / 4$-cone clefts. In this communication we wish to report the first X-ray evidence for this geometry and also to prove that an unprecedented $3 / 4$-partial-cone local arrangement can be generated by proper alkylation of the free OH groups.



Fig. 1 Crystal structure of 1. The labeling of aromatic rings is indicated while solvent molecules are omitted

Single crystal X-ray analysis $\ddagger$ was performed on $1,5: 3,7-$ bis(tetramethylene)-bridged calix[8]arene $\mathbf{1 , \S}$ which was synthetized, in analogy with 1,5:3,7-calix[8]bis-crown-3 2, ${ }^{6}$ in $68 \%$ yield by a two-step alkylation ( $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by NaH ) of p-tert-butylcalix[8]arene with 1,4-diiodobutane. The crystal structure of 1 (Fig. 1), which represents the first X-ray analysis of a bridged-calix[8]arene, shows two well defined $3 / 4$-cone clefts (rings ABC and CDE ), locally very similar to a typical calix[4]arene $C_{2 v}$-cone. ${ }^{1}$ Each of them is characterized by the inclination of the rings (canting angle) with respect to the mean planes of the four methylenes $\left[\mathrm{A}\left(71^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{B}\left(50^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(53^{\circ}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(23^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{D}\left(76^{\circ}\right), \mathrm{E}\left(49^{\circ}\right)\right]$. The EFG triad of aromatic rings forms a $3 / 4$-partial-cone geometry in which G is the "inverted" ring. This inversion is obtained at expense of the self-filling ${ }^{7}$ of the fourth $3 / 4$-cone (GHA triad), which consequently is very distorted. The $\varphi$ and $\chi$ dihedral angles used for the symbolic representation of the molecular conformation of calixarenes according to Ugozzoli and Andreetti are reported in Table 1. ${ }^{8}$ The "inversion" of the G ring is evidenced by the - - symbol for $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{G}$ junction, which breaks the regular succession of -+ , ,,,,,,-++-+--+-++-+- , corresponding to the $D_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ conformation composed of four $3 / 4$-cone clefts. Solvent molecules (one $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) are found within the $3 / 4$-cone clefts (see Fig. 2). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule is disordered


Fig. 2 Stereoview of the crystal packing of 1 including solvent molecules.

Table 1 The $\varphi$ and $\chi$ torsion angles values $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ which define the conformation of $\mathbf{1}$ (according to ref. 7), esd in the range $1.0-1.5^{\circ}$

| Joined rings | $\rho^{\circ}$ | $\chi \prime^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A-B | -73.6 | +68.8 |
| B-C | -80.7 | +92.2 |
| C-D | +91.4 | -47.4 |
| D-E | +78.8 | -101.0 |
| E-F | -96.8 | +36.9 |
| F-G | -120.0 | -132.3 |
| G-H | +15.5 | -97.6 |
| H-A | +76.1 | -106.1 |

with the two chlorine atoms occupying four positions and the carbon atom, with its partial positive charge, positioned at 3.66 and $3.68 \AA$ from the aromatic centroids of A and B rings, respectively, giving rise to probable $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interactions. Three strong hydrogen bonds are present: between the phenolic oxygens of the B and C rings ( $2.79 \AA$ ), D and E rings ( $2.71 \AA$ ), A and H rings ( $2.76 \AA$ ). The phenolic oxygen of the "inverted" G ring forms a weak hydrogen bond with a water molecule ( $3.04 \AA$ ) placed in the EFG triad of aromatic rings. The other water molecule, placed in the CDE cleft, seems to form a weak hydrogen bond with the phenolic oxygen of the C ring ( $3.26 \AA$ ). There are no other hydrogen bonds besides these and the molecular packing is mainly governed by van der Waals forces. The cavity formed by the two tetramethylene bridges and the three phenolic oxygens, pointing towards the centroid of the molecule, is not large enough to host any solvent probably because it is collapsed in the solid state. In fact, the shortest distance between carbon atoms of the two chains is only $4.4 \AA$, ca. $1.0 \AA$ above the van der Waals distance.

On the basis of the NMR data [a well spaced AX system ( $\delta$ 3.37 and $4.22, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for protons and a triplet at $\delta 32.3$ for the carbon in $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ groups] it must be assumed that compound $\mathbf{1}$ (as well as $\mathbf{2}$ ) in solution adopts an ideal timeaveraged $D_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ conformation with four $3 / 4$-cone clefts. Therefore, the ring inversion has to be attributed to favorable interactions which take place in the crystal (mainly the tert-butyl self-filling and $\mathrm{OH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonding). In any case this brings to light the possibility that, in analogy with calix[4]arenes, ${ }^{1}$ this inversion may be blocked when hydroxy groups are alkylated with sufficiently bulky groups. In order to test this hypothesis we subjected $\mathbf{2}^{6}$ to alkylation with $p$-tert-butylbenzyl bromide in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ which afforded in $20 \%$ yield the 1,5-dibenzyl derivative 2a. $\ddagger$ Interestingly, this compound displays inter alia a tight AB system and a typical resonance at 38.9 ppm for the protons and carbon, respectively, of an $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ group which, in accordance with canonical rules, clearly indicates the presence of an inverted ring. This was confirmed by a conformational study, based on a complete 2D NMR analysis combined with ROE-restrained Molecular Dynamics calculations, ${ }^{9}$ which gave the structure depicted


Fig. 3 Polytube model of the conformation of 2a obtained by ROErestrained MD calculations.
in Fig. 3. Therefore, compound 2a represents the first example of a calix[8]arene with aryl rings blocked in an anti orientation.
The structure of 1,5 -dibenzyl derivative $\mathbf{2 a}$ is dissymmetric since it possesses only a $C_{2}$ symmetry axis and hence it is inherently chiral. ${ }^{10}$ This was confirmed by the splitting of several signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum upon addition of Pirkle's reagent. This result prompts a close scrutiny of the threedimensional features of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ which reveals that several chiral derivatives can be obtained from 1,5:3,7-doubly-bridged calix[8]arenes by a proper combination of regio- and synlanti stereoisomerism when enough bulky substituents are appended at the lower rim. ${ }^{11}$ How bulky these substituents have to be can be estimated from the observation that tetramethyl compound $\mathbf{2 b}^{6}$ is conformationally mobile while tetraethyl derivative $\mathbf{2 c} \ddagger$ (obtained by ethylation of $\mathbf{2}$ using EtI-NaH-DMF) is conformationally hindered. In fact, 2c gives simple NMR spectra at high temperatures ( $350 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) compatible with a $D_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ symmetry while at rt it gives rise to more complicated spectra compatible with an $S_{4}$ symmetry. In particular, the presence in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $295 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of an $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ resonance at $\delta 39.3$ demonstrates the anti orientation of ethylated rings. In conclusion, in the case of bis-crown 2, a substituent larger than propyl has to be used to block the syn/anti interconversion of aryl rings.
Thanks are due to Mr R. Rapisardi (I.C.T.M.P., C.N.R., Catania) for FABMS measurements and to Mrs C. Rocco (I.S.S.N., C.N.R., Valverde) for NMR spectra acquisition.

## Notes and references

$\dagger$ These conformations have been well characterized by X-ray crystallography and can be easily ascertained by NMR spectroscopy using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts of $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ groups in accordance, respectively, to Gutsche and de Mendoza rules (ref. 1).
$\ddagger$ Crystal data for 1: $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{124} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, M=1527.0$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}$ (no. 2), $a=17.739(6), b=21.050(9), c=16.878(8) \AA$, $a=108.70(3)^{\circ}, \quad \beta=103.60(4)^{\circ}, \quad \gamma=72.70(4)^{\circ}, \quad U=5631(4) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=2$, $D_{\mathrm{c}}=0.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=8.72 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=1652.0$. Data were collected at room temperature to give 18436 measured reflections, 17748 considered unique. Among them, 7350 reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}>6.0 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ were considered as observed: $R=0.147, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.154, \Delta \rho_{\max }=2.2$ and $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.6$ e $\AA^{-3}$. Large voids are present in the structure probably due to highly disordered solvent molecules, which are very difficult to model, and which account for residual peaks in the final difference Fourier map. CCDC reference number 188/208. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/p2/a9/a909410e for crystallographic files in .cif format.
§ Satisfactory microanalytical, $\mathrm{FAB}(+)$ MS and NMR spectral data were obtained for all new compounds. Compound 2a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82,0.97\left[18 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, s, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right], 1.31[18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bn}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right], 1.33,1.36\left[18 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, s, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right], 1.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.18$ and $4.36\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AX}, J 15.3, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.56$ and $4.03(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{AX}, J 13.0, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.38$ and $4.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AX}, J 17.2, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.38$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.56, 3.75 ( 4 H each, m, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.93 and $4.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}, J 14.8, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.09,4.62\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ each, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.59$ and $4.94\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}, J 11.7, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right), 5.77$ and $7.24(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{AX}, J 2.1, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.81$ and $7.02,(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AX}, J 2.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.95$ and 7.46 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AX}, J 2.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.14$ and $7.21(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}, J 2.2, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, ArOH), 7.29 and 7.33 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}, J 8.5, \mathrm{BnH}$ ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.9 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 29.8,32.0,32.5,38.9\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 31.0,31.2,31.4 \times 2,31.6$ $\left[\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right], 33.8,33.9 \times 2,34.3,34.5\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right], 67.0,69.1,69.3$, $71.4\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 73.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right), 123.9,124.1,125.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $125.1 \times 2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Bn}} \mathrm{H}\right), 126.0,126.2,126.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.3 \times 2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Bn}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $128.1,128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 127.0,128.3,131.5,132.9,133.2,133.6,134.0$, $134.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 135.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{Bn}} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 142.2,144.9,146.3,148.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}{ }^{-}\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 150.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Bn}} \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 148.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OH}\right), 151.4,153.0,153.6(\mathrm{~s}$, $C_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ).
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